WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

١.	,	
١		
•	,	ı

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

			••	0.1.52		-			
JARED PAUL ALVORD			PAUL ALVORD	_ Case Numb	oer:	: 08	3-04034M-002-PCT-MEA		
	cordance stablishe		e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	142(f), a detention hearin	ng ha	as be	een held. I conclude that the following fact		
	-	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendar pending trial in this case.							
	by a p	-		-		re the	e detention of the defendant pending trial in		
			PAF	RT I FINDINGS OF FAC	CT				
	(1)	There	e is probable cause to believe the	at the defendant has con	nmit	ted			
			a drug offense for which a m §§ 801 et seq., 951 et seq. c	aximum term of imprisonr r 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 e	nen et se	t of te	en years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C		
			an offense under 18 U.S.C.	§§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332	2(b).				
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C imprisonment of ten years or	. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Feder more is prescribed.	al cr	rimes	s of terrorism) for which a maximum term o		
			an offense involving a minor	victim prescribed in					
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination or conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.							
				Alternative Findings					
X	(1)		There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure ne appearance of the defendant as required.						
\boxtimes	(2)	No co	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.						
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).							
	(4)								
				TATEMENT OF REASON neck one or both, as applicable.		FOR	DETENTION		
			(-	,,,,,	,				
	(1)	as to <u>Base</u> a nec	danger that: ed on the nature of the alleged off	enses, defendant's extens ant was on state probation	sive	crimi	establish by clear and convincing evidence nal history and defendant's association with time of the present offense. Defendant'		

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2244(a)(1)(abusive sexual contact. § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity) offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 3:08-mj-04034-MEA Document 27 Filed 02/29/08 Page 2 of 2

 ☑ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. ☑ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. ☑ The defendant has a prior criminal history. ☐ There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered. ☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. ☑ The defendant is facing a maximum of 30 years six months incarceration. The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: None. In addition: Defendant has two outstanding warrants both relating to probation violations. One of defendant's prior convictions is founlawful flight from law enforcement. 	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a maximum of 30 years six months incarceration. The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: None. In addition: Defendant has two outstanding warrants both relating to probation violations. One of defendant's prior convictions is for	×	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
 □ There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered. □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. ☑ The defendant is facing a maximum of 30 years six months incarceration. The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: None. In addition: Defendant has two outstanding warrants both relating to probation violations. One of defendant's prior convictions is for 		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. ☐ The defendant is facing a maximum of 30 years six months incarceration. ☐ The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: None. ☐ In addition: ☐ Defendant has two outstanding warrants both relating to probation violations. One of defendant's prior convictions is for	×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
The defendant is facing a maximum of 30 years six months incarceration. The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: None. In addition: Defendant has two outstanding warrants both relating to probation violations. One of defendant's prior convictions is for		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: None. In addition: Defendant has two outstanding warrants both relating to probation violations. One of defendant's prior convictions is for		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
In addition: Defendant has two outstanding warrants both relating to probation violations. One of defendant's prior convictions is for	\boxtimes	The defendant is facing a maximum of 30 years six months incarceration.
Defendant has two outstanding warrants both relating to probation violations. One of defendant's prior convictions is for		
	Defen	dant has two outstanding warrants both relating to probation violations. One of defendant's prior convictions is for

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 29th day of February, 2008.

United States Magistrate Judge